



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,536	04/25/2001	David K. Vavro	42390.P10917	7252
7590 06/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Mark L. Watson			TSAI, HENRY	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMANN LLP				
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2183	5
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/842,536	VAVRO, DAVID K.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Henry W.H. Tsai	2183
The MAILING DATE of this commun		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y will, by statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	ilad an 25 Anril 2001	
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	_	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This action is non-final.	pottors, procequition as to the morits is
	n for allowance except for formal in ctice under <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C	natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,11-13 and 19-28</u> is/are	rejected.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-10 and 14-18</u> is/are obje	cted to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	. =	
9) The specification is objected to by th	_	and a Francisco
10) The drawing(s) filed on 4/25/01 is/are		
11) The proposed drawing correction file	pjection to the drawing(s) be held in abe	
If approved, corrected drawings are re		disapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	, s, the <b>2</b> hanmen	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	The releight priority under de didic	3 110(4) (5) 51 (1).
	documents have been received.	
	documents have been received in	Application No
Copies of the certified copies application from the Intern	of the priority documents have bee national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	en received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action	•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	·	
a)  The translation of the foreign lar		
Attachment(s)	_	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P</li> </ol>	PTO-948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/842,536

Art Unit: 2183

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, in claim 1, line 4, "an execution unit coupled to the instruction buffer and the first MO buffer" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Note in Fig. 3, MO BUFFER 320(1) and MO BUFFER 320(2) are not coupled to INSTRUCTION EXECUTION 340.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 3,
"INSTRUCTION DECODE" should read -- INSTRUCTION DECODE MODULE--;
and "INSTRUCTION EXECUTION" should read -- INSTRUCTION EXECUTION
UNIT -- in order to be consistent with the description of the
specification. A proposed drawing correction or corrected
drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid

Art Unit: 2183

abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

3. Claim 16-18, 23 and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 16, line 1, "5" should read --15-- since a DSP is not the claim 5 invention; and

in claim 23, line 3, before "if", should --and-- be
inserted ?

in claim 28, line 4, before "if", should --and-- be inserted?

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 19-23 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/842,536

Art Unit: 2183

In claim 19, line 5, it is not clear what is meant by "retrieving the buffer from a second buffer" since "retrieving the buffer" was not previously defined.

In claim 21, line 6, it is not clear why the first instruction is retrieved from the second buffer since in the preceding step as shown line 5, the first instruction is stored in the first buffer instead of the second buffer. Similar problems exist in the other claim 26.

Applicant is required to review the claims and correct all language which does not comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/842,536

Art Unit: 2183

6. Claims 1-3, 19-21 and 24-26 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shiell et al. (U.S. Patent
No. 5,935,241) hereafter referred to as Shiell et al.'241

Referring to claim 1, Shiell et al.'241 discloses as claimed a processing element comprising: an instruction buffer (see Fig. 2, inside instruction buffer and control 60 which is in a fetch unit 26, see also Col. 9, lines 30-31); a first most often (MO) buffer (level 1 instruction cache 16i see Fig. 1) coupled to the instruction buffer (see Fig. 2); and an execution unit (comprising ALU 0 420, ALU 1 421, see Fig. 1) coupled to the instruction buffer (see Fig. 2, inside instruction buffer and control 60) and the first MO buffer (level 1 instruction cache 16i see Fig. 1), wherein the execution unit is adaptable to execute instructions stored within the first MO buffer (level 1 instruction cache 1 instruction cache 16i see Fig. 1) based upon a first predetermined profile (cache "miss" in level 1 instruction cache is best reasonably and broadly interpreted as the first predetermined profile).

As to claim 2, Shiell et al.'241 also disclose: a second MO buffer (<u>level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1</u>) coupled to the instruction buffer (<u>see Fig. 2</u>, inside instruction buffer and <u>control 60</u>) and the execution unit (<u>comprising ALU 0 42<sub>0</sub>, ALU 1 42<sub>1</sub></u>, see Fig. 1), wherein the execution unit is adaptable to

Art Unit: 2183

execute instructions stored within the second MO buffer (level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1) based upon a second predetermined profile ("miss" in level 2 instruction cache).

As to claim 3, Shiell et al.'241 also disclose: a decode module (DECODE 34, see Fig. 1) coupled to the second most often (MO) buffer (level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1) coupled to the instruction buffer, the first MO buffer (level 1 instruction cache 16i see Fig. 1), the second MO buffer (level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1) and the execution unit (comprising ALU 0 420, ALU 1 421, see Fig. 1).

Referring to claims 19 and 24, Shiell et al.'241 discloses as a method comprising: receiving a first instruction at an instruction buffer (see Fig. 2, main memory 305 or inside instruction buffer and control 60 which is in a fetch unit 26, see also Col. 9, lines 30-31); determining whether the first instruction has been designated to be retrieved from a first buffer (level 1 instruction cache 16i see Fig. 1) in order to be executed; and if so, retrieving the first instruction from the first buffer (level 1 instruction cache 16i see Fig. 1); otherwise, retrieving first instruction from a second buffer (level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1). Note when the first instruction has not been in the level 1 instruction cache (such as in a cache miss situation) then the Shiell et al. system will

Art Unit: 2183

try to retrieve first instruction from a second buffer (level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1).

As to claims 20 and 25, Shiell et al.'241 also discloses: executing the first instruction after it has been retrieved from the first buffer (level 1 instruction cache 16i see Fig. 1).

As to claims 21 and 26, Shiell et al.'241, as best understood, also discloses: determining whether the first instruction has been designated to be stored in the first buffer if the first instruction has not been designated to be retrieved from the first buffer in order to be executed (note this is the situation when level 1 does not contain the first instruction); if so, storing the first instruction in the first buffer (level 1 instruction cache 16 is see Fig. 1); and executing the first instruction after it has been retrieved from the second buffer (level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be

Art Unit: 2183

patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiell et al. in view of Pechanek et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,682,491) hereafter referred to as Pechanek et al. '491.

Shiell et al. 241 discloses the claimed invention except for being used as one of a plurality of processing elements.

Pechanek et al.'491 discloses a system (see Fig. 6-A or Fig. 7) comprising: a plurality of processing elements (such as PE-0,0; PE-0,1; PE-1,0; and PE-1,1) and each processing element has the same structure and function.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plurality of Shiell et al.'241's systems in a processor, as taught by Pechanek et al.'491, in order to increase the processing throughput for the Shiell et al.'241's system.

Further, as shown in St. Regis Paper Co. v Bemis Co. 193

USPQ 8 (7th Cir. 1977), to duplicate parts for multiple effects

generally does not provide patentable weight to the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2183

As to claim 12, Shiell et al.'241 also disclose: a second MO buffer (level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1) coupled to the instruction buffer (see Fig. 2, inside instruction buffer and control 60) and the execution unit (comprising ALU 0 420, ALU 1 421, see Fig. 1), wherein the execution unit is adaptable to execute instructions stored within the second MO buffer (level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1) based upon a second predetermined profile ("miss" in level 2 instruction cache).

As to claim 13, Shiell et al.'241 also disclose: a decode module (DECODE 34, see Fig. 1) coupled to the second most often (MO) buffer (level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1) coupled to the instruction buffer, the first MO buffer (level 1 instruction cache 16i see Fig. 1), the second MO buffer (level 2 instruction cache 11 see Fig. 1) and the execution unit (comprising ALU 0 420, ALU 1 421, see Fig. 1).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-10, 14, and 15, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten

Art Unit: 2183

in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 6. Claims 16-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 22, 23, 27, and 28 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure wherein Parady'320 discloses a processor with independent OS resources also comprising instruction cache and buffer and external cache RAM which also can be considered as MO buffers as the claimed invention; Tremblay et al.'338, discloses a clustered architecture in a VLIW processor comprising instruction cache and instruction buffer in each of processing elements; and JP-6-

Art Unit: 2183

237377 also teaches a processor using a plurality of processing elements as shown in Fig. 1 as the claimed invention.

#### Contact Information

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Henry Tsai whose telephone number is (703) 308-7600. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, Eddie Chan, can be reached on (703) 305-9712. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.
- 10. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays,
  Group 2100 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions
  directly into the Group at fax number: 703-872-9306.

This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee.

It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by

Application/Control Number: 09/842,536

Art Unit: 2183

Page 12

applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account.

Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2100 will be promptly forward to the examiner.

HENRY W. H. TSAI

PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 24, 2004